

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		SWIN 2012	9358	
09/514,759	02/28/2000	John Vivian Wood	EXAMINER		
7812 SMITH-HII	7590 09/14/2004 LL AND BEDELL		THALER, M	THALER, MICHAEL H	
12670 N W BARNES ROAD SUITE 104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND	o, OR 97229		DATE MAILED: 09/14/200	)4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Landingtion No.	Applicant(s)	
	Application No.		$\bigcirc \mathcal{U}$
·	09/514,759	WOOD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Thaler	3731	idress
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	er aini nic collochalla	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY A	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, n ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 J	<u>lune 2004</u> .		
	is action is non-final.	matters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is
2a) This action is FINAL.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quavle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parto Quayio, 100	• · · · •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim/s) 53-74 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	_	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 64-74 is/are withdra	awn from consideratio	Π.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-63</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requireme	ent.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	tod to by the Examiner.	
	ccented of Dil I oblev	ted to by the Examiner.  abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	action is required it the t	Ilawilid(2) is oploated to a	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Fxaminer. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form	PTO-152.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.0.0.440(=) (d) == (6)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ents have been receiv	red in Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	ents have been recent priority documents hav	e been received in this Nation	nal Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified cor	ies not received.	
- 266 the straction detailed outgo agreement			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	5) 🔲 I	Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 (	Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/514,759 Page 1

Art Unit: 3731

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 1, 2004 has been entered.

Newly submitted claims 64-74 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Inventions I (claims 53-63) and II (claims 64-74) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example, it could be used without heating the gripping element and returning it to a non-deformed condition.

Art Unit: 3731

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 64-74 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 53, 55-57, 59 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bendel et al. (5,242,458). Bendel et al. show a biocompatible gripping device for surgical use including at least one deformable gripping element (at I) comprising shape memory material (col. 3, lines 55-63). deformable gripping element (at I) inherently requires to be heated to a temperature above the martensite to austenite phase the non-deformed transition temperature to return to it condition, as broadly claimed. During its intended use, the gripping element I returns to its non-deformed condition after releasing the article as indicated in col. 3, lines 61-63. However, the gripping element, when used in a cold environment (below the martensite to austenite phase transition temperature) in its deformed condition inherently remain would releasing the article since it would be in the martensite phase. Application/Control Number: 09/514,759

Art Unit: 3731

Further, it would inherently return to its non-deformed condition upon heating (to room temperature for example) since heating it would change its state from the martensite to austenite. Since the rejected claims are apparatus claims rather than method of use claims and since the Bendel et al. device is inherently capable of being used as claimed, the rejection is proper. Alternatively, it would have been obvious that the deformable gripping element (at I) requires to be heated to a temperature above the martensite to austenite phase transition temperature to return it to the non-deformed condition for the reasons set forth above.

Claims 54, 58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bendel et al. (5,242,458). As to claim 54, Bendel et al. fail to disclose the specific phase transition temperature. However, it would have been obvious that the phase transition temperature of the nitinol gripping elements falls within the claimed range since they are in the austenitic phase at room temperature (since they apparently return to their original shape at room temperature as indicated in col. 3, lines 61-63) and since it is old and well known that the specific phase transition temperature of nitinol typically falls within the claimed range. As to claim 58, Bendel et al. fail to

Application/Control Number: 09/514,759

Art Unit: 3731

However, it is old and well known to use the claimed percentages for a nickel titanium alloy in order to obtain the desired shape recovery. It would have been obvious to use the claimed percentages for the Bendel et al. nitinol so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 60, Bendel et al. fail to disclose the claimed attachment means. However, it is old and well known in this art to use attachment means such as soldering or riveting in order to positively secure an insert to a jaw. It would have been obvious to use the claimed attachment means for the Bendel et al. insert and jaw so that it too would have this advantage.

Applicant's arguments filed June 1, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (703)308-2154. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/514,759

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht 9/10/04 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731